COVER PAGE AND DECLARATION

	Master of Business Administration (M.B.A.)
Specialisation:	
Affiliated Center:	
Module Code & Module Title:	
Student's Full Name:	
Student ID:	
Word Count:	
Date of Submission:	

I confirm that this assignment is my own work, is not copied from any other person's work (published/unpublished), and has not been previously submitted for assessment elsewhere.

E-SIGNATURE: _____

DATE: _____

EIU Paris City Campus
Address: 59 Rue Lamarck, 75018 Paris, France | **Tel:** +33 144 857 317 | **Mobile/WhatsApp:** +33607591197 | **Email:** paris@eiu.ac

EIU Corporate Strategy & Operations Headquarter
Address: 12th Fl. Amarin Tower, 496-502 Ploenchit Rd., Bangkok 10330, Thailand | **Tel:** +66(2)256923 & +66(2)2569908 | **Mobile/WhatsApp:** +33607591197 | **Email:** info@eiu.ac

Contents

LEADERSHIP STRATEGY AND NEEDS IN BLUMMER PVT LTD

Introduction

In this report, we will analyse and provide recommendations for improving the leadership strategy and addressing the communication needs of Blummer Pvt Ltd. Blummer investments is a logistics company in Zimbabwe specializing in end-to-end supply chain management and transportation services. With a strong commitment to customer satisfaction, reliability, and efficiency, they offer tailored solutions to meet the unique logistics needs of businesses across various industries. Blummer investment's comprehensive range of services includes freight forwarding, warehousing, customs clearance, distribution, and value-added services. The organization seeks to adopt a more 21st-century approach to leadership, emphasizing faster communication between departments and leveraging network dynamics within the organization.

Description of Blummer investment's Leadership Practices:

Blummer investments currently employs a hierarchical leadership style, where decision-making and authority are centralized. The leadership practices emphasize top-down communication and a command-and-control approach. The organization values stability and consistency, but it may hinder innovation and adaptability.

Critique of Blummer's Leadership Practices:

According to DuBrin (2016) hierarchical leadership style that is also known as traditional or autocratic leadership, is a leadership approach where authority and decision-making power are concentrated at the top of the organizational hierarchy. In the opinion of Mintzberg (1980) in this style, leaders make decisions, give instructions, and closely control the work of their subordinates.

Key characteristics of the hierarchical leadership style include:

Centralized Decision-Making:

According to Mintzberg (1980) leaders in hierarchical structures have the authority and responsibility to make decisions on behalf of the organization. They often hold the ultimate decision-making power and exercise control over their subordinates.

Clear Chain of Command:

The hierarchical leadership style emphasizes a clear chain of command, with a well-defined hierarchy of positions and reporting relationships. Yukl (2013) says, subordinates have designated supervisors to whom they report, and each level of the hierarchy has specific responsibilities and decision-making authority.

Top-Down Communication:

DuBrin (2016) postulates that communication in hierarchical leadership flows primarily from top to bottom. Leaders transmit instructions, expectations, and information to their subordinates, who are expected to follow directives without much input or questioning.

Strict Control and Supervision:

Hierarchical leaders closely monitor the work of their subordinates, ensuring compliance with established procedures, policies, and performance standards. They exercise control through regular oversight, feedback, and performance evaluations as echoed by Yukl (2013).

Limited Employee Autonomy:

Yukl (2013) goes on to bring out that in hierarchical leadership, employees often have limited autonomy and decision-making power. They are expected to follow instructions and carry out assigned tasks without much input or involvement in the decision-making process.

Emphasis on Stability and Efficiency:

Hierarchical leadership aims to establish stability and efficiency within the organization. Leaders focus on maintaining order, adhering to established processes, and ensuring tasks are carried out in a consistent and predictable manner.

Advantages of Hierarchical Leadership Structure:

Clear Chain of Command:

Bass (1985) notes that, a hierarchical structure provides a clearly defined chain of command, with distinct roles and responsibilities. This clarity helps employees understand reporting relationships and decision-making authority, ensuring efficiency and accountability.

Efficient Decision-Making:

With decision-making authority centralized at the top, hierarchical structures can facilitate quick decision-making. According to Mintzberg (1980) this is particularly advantageous in situations where immediate action is required or in organizations operating in stable and predictable environments.

Centralized Control:

A hierarchical structure allows for centralized control and oversight. Yukl (2013) perpetrates the idea that leaders at the top can establish and enforce policies, ensuring consistency and uniformity throughout the organization. This control can be crucial in maintaining compliance, quality standards, and brand consistency.

Disadvantages of Hierarchical Leadership Structure:

Lack of Agility:

Hierarchical structures can impede agility and adaptability. According to Kotter, J. P. (1990) decisions often need to be cascaded down the hierarchy, leading to delays and a slower response to market changes and customer needs. This can hinder innovation, responsiveness, and competitive advantage in fast-paced industries.

Limited Employee Empowerment:

Mintzberg (1980) brings out the idea that in hierarchical structures, decision-making power is concentrated at the top, leading to limited employee empowerment. This can result in reduced motivation, engagement, and creativity among employees who feel their contributions and ideas are not valued or solicited.

Communication Barriers:

Hierarchical structures can create communication barriers between different levels and departments as highlighted by DuBrin (2016). Information flows predominantly in a top-down manner, inhibiting open dialogue, collaboration, and knowledge sharing. Silos may form, hindering cross-functional cooperation and impeding overall organizational effectiveness.

Lack of Flexibility and Autonomy:

Bass (1985) discusses that Employees in hierarchical structures may have limited autonomy and flexibility in decision-making. This can result in decreased job satisfaction, as individuals feel constrained by rigid rules and processes that may not align with their expertise or insights.

Reduced Innovation and Initiative:

Hierarchical structures tend to prioritize stability and conformity over innovation and risk-taking. The emphasis on top-down decision-making and adherence to established procedures can stifle creativity, entrepreneurial thinking, and the exploration of new ideas.

While the hierarchical leadership style has been prevalent in traditional organizations, it is important to note that contemporary leadership approaches emphasize more collaborative and participative styles. However, certain situations may still call for elements of hierarchical leadership, such as in highly regulated industries or in times of crisis when decisive and immediate action is required.

Proposed New Leadership Style Strategy Plan:

Evaluation and Revision of Leadership Style:

- Introduce a transformational leadership style that inspires and motivates employees.
- Empower middle managers and employees by decentralizing decision-making and fostering autonomy and ownership.
- Implement a leadership policy that encourages open communication, collaboration, and learning.

Transformational Leadership Style:

Bass and Riggio (2006) view transformational leadership as a leadership style characterized by leaders who inspire and motivate their followers to achieve exceptional performance and personal growth. According to Avolio and Yammarino (2013) these leaders focus on developing and empowering their followers, fostering a sense of purpose and commitment to shared goals. They stimulate innovation, creativity, and change within the organization.

Advantages of Transformational Leadership:

Increased Employee Motivation:

Yammarino (2013) also brings to light the notion that transformational leaders inspire and motivate their followers through their vision, enthusiasm, and charisma. Yammarino (2013) say they create a compelling vision of the future, establish high expectations, and encourage employees to exceed their own limitations. This high level of motivation leads to improved job satisfaction, engagement, and productivity.

Enhanced Employee Development:

Shamir, House and Arthur (1993) bring out connotation that transformational leaders prioritize the development and growth of their followers. They provide mentorship, coaching, and support, helping employees reach their full potential. Through individualized consideration, they understand the strengths and weaknesses of their employees and provide opportunities for learning and skill development.

Improved Organizational Performance:

According to Dvir, Eden, Avolio and Shamir (2002) transformational leaders focus on creating a shared vision and aligning the goals of individuals with those of the organization. This alignment leads to increased commitment, teamwork, and collaboration, resulting in improved organizational performance. Transformational leaders also promote innovation and change, allowing organizations to adapt to dynamic environments.

Positive Organizational Culture:

Transformational leaders foster a positive organizational culture characterized by trust, open communication, and collaboration. They create an inclusive and supportive work environment where employees feel valued, respected, and empowered. This positive culture promotes creativity, initiative, and a willingness to take risks.

Disadvantages of Transformational Leadership:

Dependency on Leader:

Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Moorman and Fetter (1990) discuss the downside of transformational leadership style and they bring to light that transformational leadership relies heavily on the presence and influence of the leader. When the leader is absent or less effective, it may lead to a lack of direction and motivation among followers. Organizations relying solely on transformational leaders may face challenges in sustaining high performance during leadership transitions.

Potential for Burnout:
Transformational leaders often invest significant time and energy in developing and supporting their followers. This level of commitment and involvement may put the leader at risk of burnout if not balanced with self-care and delegation of responsibilities.

Resistance to Change:
Transformational leaders drive change and innovation, which can be met with resistance from employees who are comfortable with the status quo. Overcoming resistance and fostering acceptance of change may require additional effort and time.

Potential for Unethical Influence:
While transformational leaders are generally positive and ethical, there is a possibility that their influence and charisma can be used for manipulative or unethical purposes. Organizations must ensure that transformational leaders maintain high ethical standards and act in the best interests of the organization and its stakeholders.

It's important to note that the advantages and disadvantages of transformational leadership can vary depending on the specific organizational context, industry, and culture. A balanced approach to leadership that combines transformational leadership with other styles may be more effective in addressing the complexities and challenges of today's dynamic business environments.

Influence on Company Culture:
Company culture refers to the shared values, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviours that exist within an organization. It represents the collective norms and customs that shape how employees interact with each other, approach their work, and perceive the organization's mission and vision. Company culture plays a crucial role in shaping employee engagement, job satisfaction, productivity, and overall organizational performance.

Leadership style has a significant influence on company culture. The behaviour and actions of leaders set the tone for the organization and have a ripple effect on employees at all levels. Here's how leadership style can influence company culture:

Values and Priorities:
The leadership style reflects the values and priorities of leaders, which, in turn, shape the organization's culture. For example, leaders who prioritize innovation and risk-taking may foster a culture of experimentation and continuous learning. On the other hand, leaders who

emphasize stability and conformity may cultivate a more risk-averse and process-oriented culture.

Communication and Transparency:

The leadership style sets the tone for communication within the organization. Leaders who adopt an open and transparent communication approach encourage employees to share ideas, collaborate, and provide feedback. This fosters a culture of trust, transparency, and open dialogue. Conversely, leaders who have a more hierarchical or secretive communication style may inadvertently contribute to a culture of mistrust and limited information sharing.

Employee Empowerment:

Different leadership styles vary in their approach to empowering employees. Transformational and participative leadership styles, for example, emphasize empowering employees by involving them in decision-making, delegating responsibilities, and recognizing their contributions. Such leadership styles foster a culture of autonomy, ownership, and employee empowerment. In contrast, autocratic or directive leadership styles may create a culture of dependency and limited employee autonomy.

Collaboration and Teamwork:

Leadership style influences the level of collaboration and teamwork within an organization. Leaders who promote a collaborative and inclusive approach tend to create a culture that values cooperation, teamwork, and cross-functional collaboration. On the other hand, leaders who adopt a more individualistic or competitive leadership style may inadvertently contribute to a culture that prioritizes individual achievements over collective success.

Adaptability and Change:

The leadership style plays a crucial role in managing change and promoting organizational adaptability. Leaders who embrace a transformational or visionary leadership style can inspire and motivate employees to embrace change, take risks, and adapt to new circumstances. They foster a culture that values innovation, learning, and resilience. In contrast, leaders who resist change or adopt a more rigid leadership style may inadvertently contribute to a culture that resists innovation and struggles with adapting to new challenges.

It's important to note that the influence of leadership style on company culture is not deterministic or absolute. Other factors such as organizational structure, industry dynamics, and employee diversity also shape company culture. Nonetheless, leadership style is a

powerful driver that sets the tone and direction for the organization, ultimately shaping its culture and influencing employee attitudes and behaviours.

- The transformational leadership style will foster a culture of innovation, creativity, and continuous improvement.

- Encourage a supportive and inclusive culture where diverse perspectives are valued and ideas can flourish.

Better Departmental Communication Plan:

- Implement a digital communication platform that enables real-time communication, such as team collaboration tools and instant messaging platforms.

- Establish regular cross-departmental meetings and project updates to promote collaboration and information sharing.

- Encourage open and transparent communication channels, including regular town hall meetings and feedback mechanisms.

Utilization of Networks within the Organization:
Informal Social Networks:

- Brass (1992) says identifying existing informal networks within the organization and leveraging them to enhance collaboration and knowledge sharing. These networks develop naturally among employees based on social connections, shared interests, and personal relationships.

- Leadership at Blummer can leverage these networks by actively promoting social interactions, organizing team-building activities, and encouraging cross-departmental collaboration. They can also tap into these networks for informal knowledge sharing and idea generation.

Professional Networks:

• according to Granovetter (1973) these networks consist of employees who share similar professional interests or expertise, both within and outside the organization.

• Blummer Investment's Leadership can facilitate the development of professional networks by organizing industry events, conferences, and seminars. They can encourage employees to participate in professional associations and networks, fostering knowledge exchange and professional development.

Virtual Networks:

• These networks exist through digital platforms and technologies, such as online forums, social media groups, and virtual communities as postulated by Cross and Prusak (2002).

• Leadership can utilize virtual networks by creating online platforms for collaboration, knowledge sharing, and communication. They can encourage employees to join relevant online communities to stay updated on industry trends and foster connections with experts and peers.

Cross-Functional Networks:

• These networks connect employees from different departments or functional areas within the organization.

• Leadership can leverage on the cross-functional networks by promoting cross-departmental projects and initiatives, encouraging employees to work collaboratively across silos. They can also establish regular forums or meetings where representatives from different departments can exchange ideas, share best practices, and solve common challenges.

External Networks:

• According to Burt (2004) these networks involve some connections with external stakeholders, such as customers, suppliers, partners, and industry experts.

• Leadership can utilize external these networks by actively engaging with stakeholders, participating in industry events, and forming strategic partnerships. They can leverage these networks to gather market intelligence, identify new opportunities, and build strong relationships that benefit the organization.

Knowledge-Sharing Networks:

• These networks focus on sharing expertise, best practices, and lessons learned within the organization as postulated by Monge and Contractor (2003).

• the leadership at Blummer investments can encourage knowledge-sharing networks by implementing knowledge management systems, creating communities of practice, and providing platforms for employees to share their insights and experiences. They can recognize and reward employees who actively contribute to these networks, fostering a culture of continuous learning and knowledge exchange.

By recognizing and tapping into these various networks, leadership can promote collaboration, innovation, and knowledge sharing within the organization. They can facilitate connections, provide resources, and create a supportive environment that encourages employees to actively participate in these networks.

Conclusion:

By adopting a transformational leadership style, implementing a comprehensive communication plan, and leveraging the existing networks within the organization, Blummer Investments can create a more agile, collaborative, and innovative work environment. This 21st-century approach to leadership will enhance communication between departments, promote employee engagement, and improve overall organizational performance.

Reference List

1. DuBrin, A. J. (2016). Leadership: Research findings, practice, and skills (8th ed.). Cengage Learning

2. Mintzberg, H. (1980). Structure in 5's: A synthesis of the research on organization design. Management Science, 26(3), 322-341.

3. Yukl, G. (2013). Leadership in organizations (8th ed.). Pearson.

4. Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Free Press.

5. Kotter, J. P. (1990). What leaders really do. Harvard Business Review, 68(3), 103-111.

6. Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

7. Avolio, B. J., & Yammarino, F. J. (Eds.). (2013). Transformational and charismatic leadership: The road ahead (Vol. 2). Emerald Group Publishing.

8. Shamir, B., House, R. J., & Arthur, M. B. (1993). The motivational effects of charismatic leadership: A self-concept-based theory. Organization Science, 4(4), 577-594.

9. Dvir, T., Eden, D., Avolio, B. J., & Shamir, B. (2002). Impact of transformational leadership on follower development and performance: A field experiment. Academy of Management Journal, 45(4), 735-744.

10. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. Leadership Quarterly, 1(2), 107-142.

11. Brass, D. J. (1992). Power in organizations: A social network perspective. Research in Political Sociology, 4, 295-323.

12. Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. American Journal of Sociology, 78(6), 1360-1380.

13. Cross, R., & Prusak, L. (2002). The people who make organizations go—or stop. Harvard Business Review, 80(6), 104-112.

14. Burt, R. S. (2004). Structural holes and good ideas. American Journal of Sociology, 110(2), 349-399.

15. Monge, P. R., & Contractor, N. S. (2003). Theories of communication networks. Oxford University Press.